

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,623 02/06/2004		02/06/2004	Albert R. Heilmann	(42568) 60393	(42568) 60393 8353	
21874	7590	01/13/2006		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS	& ANG	ELL, LLP		FULLER, ROB	ERT EDWARD	
P.O. BOX 55	874	,				
BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3672		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/773,623	HEILMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert E. Fuller	3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) <u>14-25</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/13/04, 5/27/05</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di  8) 5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed May 27, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed May 27, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 344. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If

Art Unit: 3672

the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 244b. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

Art Unit: 3672

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is constructed as a single run-on sentence instead of a narrative paragraph. Also, the phrase "is disclosed" in the first sentence of the abstract is a phrase which can be implied, and therefore should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The reference numbers 112 (Page 9, line 15), 222 (page 10, line 18), and 322 (page 12, line 14) are incorrect. Examiner suggests that they be changed to --116--, --122--, and --122 --, respectively. Furthermore, examiner suggests that the word "have" (page 13, line 14) be changed to --has--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3672

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kiekhaefer (US 3,102,799).

Kiekhaefer discloses a residential water storing and supply apparatus, where the "water is supplied...by a suitable pump 6, operated by motor 7" (column 1, line 48). Kiekhaefer further teaches that well water can be cleansed by passing a "cleasing gas such as air or ozone through the water. For this purpose, a gas compressor 14 is disposed for operation by motor 7 and is provided with a pipe 15 which discharges a suitable cleansing gas...into the water" (column 2, lines 7-13).

Therefore, Kiekhaefer teaches a water storing and supply apparatus with an aerating section that is operatively connected to a pumping section. Furthermore, the two sections operate simultaneously because they are connected to the same motor. Examiner notes that the level of the pumping section is considered to be the inlet of the pumping section, which is downhole. The gas is injected into the water above ground, therefore the gas is injected above the level of the pumping section.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 14-25 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3672

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references further teach the state of the art with regard to well water aeration devices.

US 5,104,554 – Dempsey

US 5,302,286 – Semprini et al.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E. Fuller, whose telephone number is 571-272-0419. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM. The examiner is normally out of the office every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell, can be reached at 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

01/10/2006 REF

Primary Examiner